



CITY OF GARDEN CITY

6015 Glenwood Street □ Garden City, Idaho 83714
Phone (208)472-2921 □ Fax (208)472-2926

DESIGN REVIEW STAFF REPORT

File Number: SUBFY2022-0005, Coffey Townhomes

For: Preliminary Plat & Planned Unit Development

A 76-lot residential subdivision

Location: 8373 W Chinden Blvd.

Applicant: Nicolette Womack

Report Date:

Design Review: September 19, 2022

Planning & Zoning: September 21, 2022

City Council: October 10, 2022



Staff Report
Report prepared by Hanna Veal

Table of Contents

A. Record Documents	3
B. Recommendation Summary	3
C. Project Information	4
D. Discussion.....	6
E. Decision Process	8
F. Agency Comment	10
G. Public Comment.....	11
H. Code/Policy Review.....	11

A. Record Documents ([link to all file documents](#))

Individual links:

1. Application Materials
 - a) SUBFY2022-0005 [Pre-Application Staff Report](#)
 - b) SUBFY2022-0005 [Coffey Townhomes Sub Application and Materials](#)
 - c) SUBFY2022-0005 [Resubmittals 08102022](#)
2. Staff Reports
 - a) Design Review Staff Report Dated September 19, 2022
 - b) Planning and Zoning Staff Report Dated September 21, 2022
3. Agency Comments: linked in [Section E](#)
4. Public Comments: linked in [Section F](#)
5. Noticing Documents
 - a) [City Noticing](#)
 - b) [Property Posting for Design Review Committee](#)
 - c) [Property Posting for Planning and Zoning](#)
 - d) Property Posting for City Council
6. Recommendations
 - a) [Draft Potential Design Review Committee](#)
 - b) [Draft Potential Planning and Zoning](#)

B. Recommendation Summary:

This summary will be updated to reflect the recommending bodies recommendations for City Council.

C. Project Information

Proposed Scope of Work:

This application is for a subdivision and a Planned Unit Development per Garden City Code 8-7A-2 Definition of Terms:

Subdivision: The result of an act of dividing an original lot, tract or parcel of land into two (2) or more parts for the purpose of transfer of ownership or development; which may also include easements and the dedication of a public street or designation of private lanes or rights-of-way, and the addition to, or creation of, a cemetery

Planned Unit Development: Property planned as a whole that demonstrates innovation in design to protect natural features or create public amenities through more flexible standards, such as lot sizes, densities and setbacks, than those restrictions that would normally apply under these regulations.

Review Process	Notes
8-5B-2 Preliminary Subdivision Process	For the subdivision entitlement to be completed a final plat will be required per 8-5B-3 at a later date
8-6B-7 Planned Unit Development	A Planned Unit Development and a Subdivision can be processed concurrently

Purpose of a Planned Unit Development

A. Purpose: The intent of this section is to provide for well planned developments which conform to the objectives of this title but may deviate in certain respects from the zoning map and the district regulations. It is not the intent that the planned unit development process be used solely for the purposes of deviation from the dimensional standards in the district unless the following objectives are also achieved:

1. Provide a maximum choice of living environments by allowing a variety of housing and building types and permitting an increased density per acre and a reduction in lot dimensions, yards, building setbacks and area requirements.
2. Create a more useful pattern of open space and recreation areas; and, if permitted as part of the project, more convenience in the location of accessory commercial uses, industrial uses, and services.
3. Establish a development pattern which preserves and utilizes natural topography and geologic features, scenic vistas, trees, and other vegetation and prevents the disruption of natural drainage patterns.
4. Use land more efficiently than is generally achieved through conventional development resulting in substantial savings through shorter utilities and streets.
5. Develop a land pattern in harmony with land use density, transportation, and community facilities objectives of the comprehensive plan.

Site Conditions:

- 1) Street Address: 8373 W Chinden Blvd
- 2) Parcel Number(s): R8191500522, R8191500605, R8191500577, R8191500598
- 3) Property Description:
 - a) PAR #0522 OF LOTS 1, 2 & 41 STRAWBERRY GLENN SUB IN TCA 06-7
 - b) PAR #0605 OF LOTS 1, 2 & 41 STRAWBERRY GLENN SUB IN TCA 06-8 #0600-0820-C
 - c) PAR #0577 OF LOT 2 STRAWBERRY GLENN SUB
 - d) PAR #0598 OF LOTS 2 & 41 STRAWBERRY GLENN SUB
- 4) Property Size:
 - a) R8192500522: .95 acres,
 - b) R8191500605: 4.378 acres,
 - c) R8191500577: 1.16 acres,
 - d) R8191500598: .703 acres
- 5) Zoning District: C-2 General Commercial
- 6) Zoning Overlay(s): None
- 7) Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map Designations:
 - a) Green Boulevard Corridor
 - b) Mixed Use Commercial
- 8) Legal Parcel of Record: Yes
- 9) Floodplain Designation:
 - a) The project is not located in the floodplain according to the 2003 FIRM.
 - b) The project is not located in the floodplain according to FEMAs most recent modeling of the Lower Boise River, adopted by resolution 1083-20.
- 10) Surrounding Uses within 600 feet:
 - a) Storage Facility, Self Service: U-Haul Moving & Storage
 - b) Transportation Authority: Idaho Department of Transportation
 - c) Single Family Dwelling Units
- 11) Existing Use: Vacant, Previous Manufactured Home Park
- 12) Easements on site: 100' Easement for Thurman Drain
- 13) Site Access:
 - a) Front: W Chinden Blvd
 - b) Side: Coffey St
- 14) Sidewalks: No sidewalk
- 15) Wetlands on site: none identified

Project Details: 76 Townhome Units, New Construction

- 1) Proposed development: Residential New Construction.
 - a) Preliminary Plat Processed as a Planned Unit Development
- 2) Total number of lots: 77
 - a) Common: 1
 - b) Residential: 76
- 3) Density: 10.59 Units per Acre
- 4) Site Coverage:
 - a) Building: % of the site
 - b) Landscaping: 49% of site
 - c) Paved Areas: % of the site
- 5) Access: Drive from Chinden Blvd; from Coffey St
- 6) Total number of vehicular parking spaces: 183
 - a) Enclosed: 152

- b) Surface: 38
- 7) Total number of bicycle parking: Unknown
- 8) Refuse: The refuse will be in a common location
- 9) Fencing: No fence
- 10) Sidewalk:
 - a) None proposed
- 11) Landscaping: 153,150 sq ft
 - a) 1,320 shrubs or bushes; turf and rock mulch
 - b) 125 new/19 existing trees
 - i) 33 Class I
 - ii) 29 Class II, 29 of which are street trees
 - iii) 12 Class III
 - c) Parameter Landscaping: N/A - adjacent use compatible with existing use; existing dense stream buffer exceeds perimeter screening intent.
- 12) City Utilities
 - a) Water and Sewer connection to lots
 - b) 16" water service
 - c) Hydrant
- 13) Proposed Easements:
 - a) 24' Public Utility Easement
 - b) 15' Public Utility Easement
- 14) PUD Waivers requested:
 - a) More than four lots on a common drive
 - b) 0-foot interior side setback
 - c) 9' x 10' parking space dimension

D. Discussion

This application met with the Design Review Committee on April 18, 2022. A summary of the meeting minutes, included with staff's comments, have been provided below. Staff's new comments are in **bold**:

- 1) Discussion with the Design Review Committee included:
 - a) Nicolette Womack and Ian Hoffman presented the application.
 - i) Six-plex, four-plex, and three-story units;
 - b) Why are there three-story units along the "garden" view as opposed to along W. Chinden? Suggestion to look at changes to height of building along W. Chinden. A taller structure or incorporating a variety of heights might be more appropriate. **The proposal consists of 76 two-story, three-bedroom units. There is no height or density variation being proposed within the development.**
 - i) Applicant stated that there is not a lot of current density in the area. Being partial to the surroundings. Would be overbearing along W. Chinden.
 - ii) Current design is an attempt to create an open and inviting concept. Trying to create building layers to the back of the site allowing progressive heights.
 - c) Contemporary architectural design is not required by code. So long as the design meets code provisions, the style of architecture doesn't matter. **Craftsman and farmhouse styles are proposed.**
 - d) Variety of massing is needed to better the overall street presence along Coffey and Chinden. **See schematics.**

- e) Subdivision processed as a planned unit development requires variety of housing type. **The proposal consists of 76 two-story, three-bedroom townhomes. There are three floorplans provided with the difference being in square footages provided.**
- f) Pedestrian connection along W. Chinden, and Coffey Street. **See staff analysis.**
- g) Creation of semi public and private pedestrian nodes along W. Chinden. **The PUD subdivision does not provide public amenities other than the required 10' wide multi-use pathway.**
- h) State Statutes regarding tiling the Thurman Mill drain. **The drain/canal is to remain open.**
- i) ITD correspondence was sent to the Committee members for review prior to the meeting.

Planned Unit Development

This application (SUBFY2022-0005) is a subdivision application that is to be processed as a Planned Unit Development (PUD). As a PUD development, the applicant can submit waivers to code standards such as minimum setbacks to allow for the 0' interior side setback, for allowing a greater number of lots to be accessible off a common drive, and proposing noncompliant parking space sizes, however, the applicant has only requested one waiver formally.

A section of PUD code requires that in any development that which proposes 10 or more dwelling units shall provide a variety of housing types. The proposed variety shall include attached units (townhouses, duplexes), detached units (patio homes), single-family and multifamily units; provided, that the overall density limit of the district is maintained. This application does not propose a variety of housing types in that all units are two-story townhomes of similar architectural design. The townhomes, while appearing similar in exterior elevations, provide a variety of three-bedroom floor plans ranging from 1,674sqft to 1,887sqft.

Please note, during the January 19, 2021, meeting the Design Review Committee discussed the criteria related to the requirement for a variety of housing to be provided when utilizing the Planned Unit Development ordinance. The Design Review Committee reviews architectural variety, design, and aesthetics whereas the Planning and Zoning Commission reviews the variety of housing types (multi-family, townhome, single family, etc.) proposed. The Planning and Zoning Commission makes their approval or denial recommendation based on how well the proposal meets the variety of housing needs of our community.

During the April 18, 2022, Design Review Committee pre-application conference, the Committee requested for the site design to include more variety in heights and densities. There were comments suggesting increasing the height of the structures adjacent to W. Chinden. Not only would it aid in breaking up the long rooflines, but it would be in conformance with the Mixed-use Commercial Comprehensive Plan Designation. The mixed-use commercial designation is meant to be more urban in character. Three story buildings and 40%- 60% lot coverage, with aggregated open spaces for pocket parks should guide the development pattern in this area. Given the adjacent commercial use, and the large vegetated/graded buffer between the development and the adjacent neighborhood, a denser development could be appropriate.

Density might become an issue when considering the Traffic Impact Study, the Idaho Transportation Department has yet to review and comment on. Access might become limited depending on ITD’s access restrictions onto and from W. Chidnen.

PUD’s also require that all housing units be adjacent to common open space. The common open space information has been provided in the submitted documents, however, staff is concerned about site plans providing adequate open space square footage as it has not been specifically identified on the site plans. The proposal includes the large, untouched, densely vegetated area of the Thurman Mill Drain as part of their common open space. Common open space can be passive in use; however, staff is concerned that by including this area in the calculations, the rest of the development lacks open space amenities that residents can truly enjoy. Discussion regarding the usable common open space needs to commence. Additionally, a condition has been drafted to identify all common open space and for the space to be compliant with GCC 8-4L Open Space Provisions.

E. Decision Process

General Provisions

This application is processed per GCC 8-6A-7 Public Hearing.

Required Decisions: The following decision processes are required for the project as governed by GCC Table 8-6A-1:

Decision	Recommendation Authority/ Hearing Date	Decision Authority
Preliminary Plat Subdivision and Planned Unit Development	Planning and Zoning Commission: Hearing September 21, 2022 and Design Review Committee: Hearing September 19, 2022	City Council: Hearing October 10, 2022

Required Findings:

For the approval of a PRELIMINARY PLAT SUBDIVISION, the decision making body must find the application meets the following findings, found in GCC 8-5B-5:

- A. The subdivision is in conformance with the comprehensive plan;
- B. The subdivision is in conformance with all applicable provisions of this title;
- C. Public services are available or can be made available; and are adequate to accommodate the proposed development;
- D. The subdivision is in conformance with scheduled public improvements in accord with the city’s capital improvement program;
- E. There is public financial capability of supporting services for the proposed development;

- F. The development will not be detrimental to the public health, safety or general welfare; and
- G. The development preserves significant natural, scenic or historic features;
- H. There has been no denial of any application on this property within one year.

PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT: To approve a planned unit development, the decision-making body must find the application meets the following findings, found in GCC 8-6B-7.E:

- A. The applicant has demonstrated that the proposed development can be initiated within two (2) years of the date of approval;
- B. Each individual unit of the development, as well as the total development, can exist as an independent unit capable of creating an environment of sustained desirability and stability or that adequate assurance will be provided that such objective will be attained; the uses proposed will not be detrimental to present and potential surrounding uses, but will have a beneficial effect which would not be achieved under standard district regulations;
- C. The streets and thoroughfares proposed are suitable and adequate to carry anticipated traffic and increased densities will not generate traffic in such amounts as to overload the street network outside the PUD;
- D. Any proposed commercial development can be justified at the locations proposed;
- E. Any exception from standard district requirements is warranted by the design and other amenities incorporated in the final development plan, in accordance with the PUD and the adopted policy of the council;
- F. The area surrounding said development can be planned and zoned in coordination and substantial compatibility with the proposed development;
- G. The PUD is in general conformance with the comprehensive plan; and
- H. The existing and proposed utility services are adequate for the population densities and nonresidential uses proposed.
- I. There has been no denial of any application on this property within one year.

Because the application is a Planned Unit Development, the Decision Maker must also find compliance with Conditional Use Permit findings, found in GCC 8-6B-2. D:

- 1. The use is appropriate to the location, the lot, and the neighborhood, and is compatible with the uses permitted in the applicable zoning district;

2. The use will be supported by adequate public facilities or services to the surrounding area, or conditions can be established to mitigate adverse impacts
3. The use will not unreasonably diminish either the health, safety, or welfare of the community; and
4. The use is not in conflict with the comprehensive plan or other adopted plans, policies, or ordinances of the city.

Decision

After hearing the evidence and considering the application, the decision maker shall make their decision. The decision maker shall report the facts upon which it based its conclusion, the ordinance and standards used in evaluating the application, the actions, if any, that the applicant could take to obtain a permit, and whether a permit is granted, granted with conditions, or denied. The decision maker shall make its findings and decision no later than by the next regular scheduled meeting.

Pursuant to Garden City Code [Table 8-6A-1 Authorities and Processes](#), the Design Committee and Planning and Zoning Commission are recommending authorities and the City Council is the final decision maker for the requested application.

Recommendations

The Recommending Authority may take one of the following actions:

1. Recommend that the City Council grant the application as applied;
2. Recommend that the City Council grant the application with conditions as drafted or as amended;
3. Recommend that the City Council deny the application; or
4. Request the applicant return with revised materials for additional review.

The recommendations of the Design Committee and the Planning and Zoning Commission does not constitute a final decision on the application. Their recommendations cannot be appealed, as they will be heard by the City Council. The City Council is the final decision maker for this application.

City Council Decision

The City Council may take one of the following actions:

1. Sustain the recommendation as presented to the City Council;
2. Modify the recommendation;
3. Reject the recommendations; or
4. Remand the application to a recommending body for additional proceedings and findings.

A reconsideration request may be made within 14 days of the formal decision being rendered by the City Council. Final decisions are subject to a 28-day right to judicial review pursuant to The Idaho Administrative Procedures Act, Chapter 65 Title 67 Idaho Code. A takings analysis pursuant to Idaho Code may be requested on final decisions.

F. Agency Comments

The following is a summary of the agency comments that were provided at the time of the drafting of this report. All comments are included in their entirety as a part of the record.

Agency	Comment Date	Summary
Department of Environmental Quality	8/12/2022	DEQ encourages agencies to review and utilize the Idaho Environmental Guide to assist in addressing project-specific conditions that may apply.
Garden City Engineer	8/20/2022	Any approval of the project should be conditioned upon successfully addressing the items presented in the review dates 8/20/2022
Idaho Transportation Department	4/18/2022	Per the Idaho Administrative Procedures Act (IDAPA) 39.03.42.400.07(b) developments should, if possible, take access from local roads. If a development wants access to the State Highway system and there is local road access available, the applicant must prove the need for an access on the State Highway system with a Traffic Impact Study. Without reviewing the Traffic Impact Study, ITD cannot determine if the proposed site plan would be accepted.
North Ada County Fire and Rescue	8/31/2022	Fire Department required fire hydrants, access, and street identification shall be installed prior to construction or storage of combustible materials on site. Provisions may be made for temporary access and identification measures. Specific building construction requirements of the International Building Code, International Fire Code and NACFR Rules will apply. However, these provisions are best addressed by a licensed Architect at time of building permit application.

G. Public Comment

None provided as of the drafting of this document.

H. Code/Policy Review

The below serves as an analysis of applicable provisions Garden City Code, Title 8, Development Code and identified applicable policies, plans, and previous approvals.

Garden City Title 8 Code Sections			
Code Section	Review Authority	Compliance Issues	Analysis/ Discussion
Title 8, Chapter 1: General Regulations			
8-1A-4 Applicability			The regulations of Garden City Code, Title 8 Development Code of the City, shall apply and govern development and use of all properties within the corporate limits of the city.
8-1B-1 Nonconforming Properties		No compliance issues noted	Undetermined at this point in time. Legal lot descriptions appear that they are portions of the same lots. As a subdivision, any nonconforming lot will be rectified through the subdivision process.

8-1B-3 Nonconforming Uses		No compliance issues noted	Single family attached dwelling units are permitted uses.
Title 8, Chapter 2: Base Zoning District Regulations			
8-2B-1 Purpose	PZ/CC	No compliance issues noted	The purpose of the two (2) commercial districts is to provide a full range of commercial uses and services for both the residents of Garden City and the region. Location determines the type and form of commercial uses. Activities which are more compatible with mixed use or residential uses and minimally disruptive to the neighborhood are to be located in the general commercial (C-2) district.
8-2B-2 Allowed Uses	PZ/CC	No compliance issues noted	Single Family Attached Dwellings are a permitted use.
8-2B-3 Form Standards	PZ/CC	Compliant as conditioned	The required setbacks are: Front: 5' Interior Side: 5' Rear: 5' Street side: 5' The allowable maximum height is: n/a The minimum lot size is: n/a There are no encroachments All properties meet the minimum street frontage. A waiver has been requested to allow for a 0-foot interior side setback to allow for townhomes
Title 8, Chapter 4: Design and Development Regulations			
8-4A-3 Fences and Walls	DC/CC	Complaint as Conditioned	This proposal does not identify any fence or wall. Any future fence or wall will be required to be in compliance with code at the time of development.
8-4A-4 Outdoor Lighting	DC/CC	Complaint as Conditioned	This proposal does not identify any outdoor lighting. Any future outdoor lighting will be required to be in compliance with code at the time of development.
8-4A-5 Outdoor Service and Equipment Areas	DC/CC	Complaint as Conditioned	This proposal does not identify any outdoor service equipment. Any future outdoor service equipment area will be required to be in compliance with code at the time of the formal review.
8-4A-7 Stormwater Systems	DC/CC	Complaint as Conditioned	A draft condition of approval has been provided requiring that the stormwater systems be built in compliance with provisions of 8-4A-7.
8-4A-8 Utilities	DC/CC	Complaint as Conditioned	All existing and new utilities shall be installed underground. For the purposes of this section, the term "utilities" shall include, but not be limited to, electric, natural gas, water, wastewater collection, storm drainage, telephone, and cable services. Another draft condition of approval is provided requiring that all utilities be underground.

8-4A-9 Waterways	DC/CC	No compliance issues noted	<p>The Thurman Mill Canal is adjacent to this property, located near the southern property boundary line which then flows up to W. Chinden Blvd.</p> <p>The Thurman Mill Canal appears to remain untilled, which is compliant with code.</p> <p>If fencing were to be proposed, privacy fencing is not permitted, only open fencing. And it shall be approved by the irrigation or drainage district.</p>
<u>8-4B Design Provisions for Residential Structures</u>			
8-4B-3 Single Family and Two-Family Attached and Detached Dwelling	DC/CC	Not Compliant	<p>The development proposes two-story units organized within 4 Plex and 6 Plex buildings. Each townhome structure consists of three different floor plan layouts, each comprising of 3 bedrooms.</p> <p>Unit Type A is 1,887 square feet and an end unit within the buildings; Unit Type B is 1,674 square feet and an interior unit within the buildings; Type C is 1,754 square feet with and interior unit within the buildings.</p> <p>The provided site plans, floor plans, and elevations appear to show code compliant public and private pathway systems, as well as front entryway design requirements.</p> <p>The end units closest to Coffey Street of Building 7 and Building 14 do not address the street. End unit of building 14 has an interior facing entryway that faces the Thurman Mill Canal. End Unit of Building 7 does not face Coffey, instead it faces W. Chinden. This is not code compliant because the side elevations do not adequately address Coffey Street. Code requires that elevations that which face public streets shall contain windows; modulation; and a direct and permanent pathway that connects to the sidewalk and an entrance with decorative posts or roof, or covered porch. To be code compliant, the end units facing Coffey Street shall not appear to be side elevations.</p> <p>These provisions will be reviewed in conjunction with the subsequent individual building permits.</p> <p>Drive isles are long and mostly unvegetated. Terminal view from Coffey terminates in a trash enclosure.</p>
<u>8-4D Parking and Off Street Loading Provisions</u>			
8-4D-3 Parking Design and Improvement Standards	DC/CC/PZ	Compliant as conditioned	<p>Parking space dimensions are required to be 10' x 20', the site plan shows some spaces being 9' x 20'. As a PUD application, a waiver to dimensional standards could be requested. Staff has drafted this compliance issue as a waiver.</p> <p>It is unclear if there are any ADA accessible parking spaces.</p>
8-4D-4 Parking Use Standards	DC/CC/PZ	No compliance issues noted	
8-4D-5 Required	DC/CC/PZ	Compliant as conditioned	Dwelling Unit, Single Family Attached Requirements:

Number of Off-Street Parking Spaces			Dwelling, single family attached	Total Required Parking	Required Within An Enclosed Garage
			More than 1 bedroom	2* 76 Units= 152	2* 82 Units= 152
For developments with more than 2 dwelling units there shall be 0.5 additional spaces/unit provided for guest parking.					
Enclosed residential parking spaces required: 152 Total provided: 152 <i>*The project is required to provide 2 parking spaces within an enclosed garage for each unit. Each unit includes a two-car garage within the floor plans.</i>					
Number of guest spaces required: 38 Total provided: 38					
There is one bicycle space required for every 6 dwelling units necessitating at least 13 bicycle spaces. Bicycle parking has not been identified. However, the applicant has stated that bicycle parking is provided for within the garages. It is conditioned to require 13 bicycle parking spaces throughout the common areas of the development					
8-4D-6 Standards for Alternatives to On Site Parking	DC/CC/PZ	No compliance issues noted	None identified		
8-4E Transportation and Connectivity Provisions					
8-4E-3 Public Street Connections	DC/CC	No compliance issues noted	There is a general condition of approval requiring that all streets and driveways shall adhere to the standards of a clear vision triangle at all times.		
8-4E-4 Internal Circulation Standards	DC/CC	No compliance issues noted	The drive is 20' or greater in width		
8-4E-5 Private Street Standards	DC/CC	Compliant as conditioned	Compliance statement mentions a 28' wide private street is proposed. Preliminary Site Plan Sheet C3.10 shows 24', 26.2, 40' wide private streets. Clarification is needed.		
The private street shall be constructed within an easement. The private street name(s) shall obtain approval from the Ada County street name committee. And, a binding contract that establishes the party or parties responsible for the repair and maintenance of the private street including regulations for the funding shall be recorded with a final plat. No building permit shall be issued until the contract has been recorded.					
8-4E-6 Sidewalk Standards	DC/CC	No compliance issues noted	<u>Coffey Street:</u> A 5' wide detached sidewalk with 6' wide landscape buffer has been proposed along the frontage of Coffey Street. Coordination with ACHD will be required for work within the ROW.		
<u>W. Chinden Blvd.</u> The application proposes a 10' wide detached sidewalk along W. Chinden.					

			In cooperation with ITD, Garden City requires a minimum 10ft wide detached multi-use pathway to be installed along the W. Chinden frontage. This pathway will be required on the subject property with a public access easement. The pathway will be required on the property, not within ITD's right-of-way. This pathway has not been proposed.
8-4E-8 Transit Facilities	DC/CC	No compliance issues noted	Bus pullouts, shelter pads, shelters, and related right of way and easements may be required when a development is adjacent to an existing or planned bus stop or transit station. These facilities shall be integrated into the overall pedestrian plan of a project, and designed consistent with the requirements of the Valley Regional Transit authority. There does not appear to be a bus stop located at this site.
8-4H Flood Hazard			
8-4H Flood Hazard	Planning Official	Complaint as Conditioned	The City highly encourages that the applicant build to the best available data identified in the FIS study due to the potential cost of flood insurance and safety concerns for the property. Should the applicant choose not to build above the base flood elevations identified in the FIS, the City will request that the applicant record a Flood Acknowledgement on the property.
8-4G Sustainable Development Provisions			
8-4G Sustainable Development Provisions	Planning Official	No compliance issues noted	The development is required to provide 6 points. The application provided a checklist showing compliance.
8-4I Landscaping and Tree Protection Provisions			
8-4I-3 General Landscaping Standards and Irrigation Provisions	DRC/PZ/CC	No compliance issues noted	The application proposes more than 50 trees on site, thus at least 5 varieties of tree will be required. This appear to be met.
8-4I-4 Landscaping Provisions for Specific Uses	DRC/PZ/CC	May not be complaint	A minimum of five percent (5%) of the gross site area shall be landscaped areas, excluding areas for setback or perimeter landscaping. Landscape Required (312,300sqft): 15,615 Landscape Provided: 153,150 (49%) A minimum of one class III or class II tree shall be planted in the frontage and every adjacent streetside. An additional class I tree shall be planted in the corresponding setback for every increment of fifty feet (50') of linear feet of frontage. <u>W. Chinden Blvd. (1,227LF)</u> Trees Required: 1 Street + 25 Frontage = 26 Trees Trees Provided: 1 Street + 23 Frontage = 2 Trees (Deficient 1 Tree) <i>*A variety of Class I and II trees are proposed along the frontages of both W. Chinden and Coffey Street. Some of the proposed trees do not have large tree canopies. The intent of code is to require large, high-and-wide branching trees to help protect the pedestrian, create a natural buffer, and aid in climactic resilience by providing shade and stormwater</i>

			<p>treatment. It has been conditioned in the decision document to work with staff regarding the type of trees planted along both Coffey and W. Chinden. Class I trees do not meet the intent of this code. Especially when there is room for larger Class II or III trees.</p> <p>**Coordination with ITD will be required. There are no overhead utilities that would conflict with the trees.</p> <p><u>Coffey Street (479LF)</u> Trees Required: 1 Street + 10 Frontage = 11 Trees Trees Provided: 1 Street + 10 Frontage = 11 Trees</p> <p>*There are no proposed street trees between the Coffey Street ROW and the sidewalk. This landscape buffer, that is 6' wide, is required to have Class II or III street trees within.</p> <p>A minimum of one tree per one thousand (1,000) square feet of landscaped area and one shrub per one hundred fifty (150) square feet of landscaped area shall be planted. Landscaping provided: 153,150 Trees required: 153 Trees provided: 144 (125 new; 19 existing) (Deficient 9 trees)</p> <p>*It appears that there is conflicting data in the submitted landscaping plans. Staff was unsure how this portion was calculated. It is mentioned that landscaping provided equals 153,150sqft, but elsewhere it states 64,543sqft. Clarification is required.</p>
8-4I-5 Perimeter Landscaping Provisions	DC/PZ/CC	No compliance issues noted	N/A - adjacent use compatible with existing use; existing dense stream buffer exceeds perimeter screening intent.
8-4I-6 Parking Lot Landscaping Provisions	DC/PZ/CC	No compliance issues noted	<p>The provisions of this section shall apply to all new or substantially altered parking lots of five (5) spaces or more.</p> <p>Internal shade trees shall be provided at a minimum ratio of one tree planted for every five (5) parking spaces supplied. Provided.</p> <p>Internal shade trees shall be planted such that no parking space is more than one hundred feet (100') from a tree. Provided.</p>
8-4I-7 Tree Preservation Provisions	DC/PZ/CC	Not Compliant	<p>A mitigation report was submitted, but it is unknown if the report was generated by a certified arborist. A certified arborist will be required to analyze all existing trees on site. Mitigation will be at a 1:1 ratio for all identified healthy trees that are being removed.</p> <p>Total Inventoried Trees on site: 78 Total Caliper Inches Inventoried on site: 1,551 Total Inches to Protect in Place: 282.5 Total Inches to Remove: 1,268.5</p> <p>Total Mitigation Required (1:1): 409 caliper inches* Total Mitigation Provided: 229 caliper inches</p>

			<p><i>*Excludes Poor Condition, Diseased, Protected in Place, and Invasive Species (Robinia, Salix, Ulmus)</i></p> <p>Mitigation has not been met. All calipers removed must be mitigated for at a 1:1 ratio.</p>
8-4L Open Space Provisions			
8-4L-3 General Open Space Standards	DC/PZ/CC	No compliance issues noted	The common open space area is located and designed to serve a passive or recreational function.
8-4L-4 Open Space Standards for Single-family, Townhouse, and Two-Family Duplex Developments	DC/PZ/CC	May not be complaint – Discussion required	<p>As a single family attached dwelling unit planned unit development, the site is required to dedicate at least 10% of site to common open space.</p> <p>The compliance statement has indicated that 49% of the site is dedicated to common open space. This space includes a centralized open space amenity, and the existing irrigation canal area towards the rear of the property.</p> <p>There are specific provisions within code that allow areas to count towards the requirement. For example: Any open space, not less than four hundred (400) square feet in area, and with a minimum length and width dimension of twenty feet (20') that is active or passive in its intended use, and accessible by all residents of the development.</p> <p>It appears that the development has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Open grassy areas; • Existing vegetation areas to remain as dense vegetation; • A landscape buffer with a minimum of five feet (5') between the street and sidewalk and eighty feet (80') in linear distance; <p>The calculations include the densely vegetated canal area, which is not planned to be touched or enhanced. This area will essentially be unusable to the residence. Leaving the common open areas limited to the portions that are more centralized to the development. The swale systems cannot count towards the minimum requirements.</p> <p>Staff has conditioned to require a common open space square footage map, identifying the locations, and amenities that make up the identified 49% of the site dedicated to common open space.</p>
Title 8, Chapter 5 Article A: Land Division Regulations – General Provisions and Standards			
8-5A-4 General Standards	PZ/DRC/CC	No compliance issues noted	<p>See discussions on Design Review 8-4 B&C; Driveways 8-4-E; Floodplain 8-3-B 8-4H; Landscaping 8-4-I; Open Space 8-4-L; Parking 8-4-D; Planned Unit Development 8-6B; Private Streets 8-4-E; Sidewalks 8-4-E; Street design and development standards 8-4-G; Sustainable development provisions 8-4-G; Utilities 8-4-A; Zoning Provisions 8-2-B</p> <p>There are general conditions of approval that the application must be in conformance with the requirements of Garden City Code Erosion Control 4-15; Public water and sewer systems</p>

			Title 6; Storm drainage and discharge control 4-14 prior to the approval of the subdivision.
8-5A-6 Improvement Standards		Not Compliant	<p>A subsequent application will be required for staff approval to ensure that the proposed improvements meet code standards and policies for storm drainage, water, sewer, utilities, and monuments and are otherwise in conformance with this approval.</p> <p>In accordance with the Planned Unit Development diversity of housing types, GCC 8-5A-6 also requires A variety of lot sizes as allowed by the zoning district is encouraged to accommodate a range of housing types and sizes.</p> <p>Private streets shall be prohibited except where a private association or entity can provide proper maintenance, and shall be constructed in accordance with the standards and specifications adopted by the transportation authority and in compliance with the provisions set forth in section 8-4E-5 of Garden City Code. CC&Rs will be reviewed for private road maintenance.</p> <p>Common driveways shall serve a maximum of four (4) dwelling units, unless approved through a planned unit development.</p> <p>There shall be an easement for the multi-use pathway along W. Chinden, shall be a minimum of twelve feet (12') wide, with at least a ten foot (10') wide prepared travel width.</p>
Title 8, Chapter 6, Article A: Administration			
8-6A-3 General Application Process	PZ/DRC/CC	No compliance issues noted	The application was reviewed and considered complete within 30 days of submittal, a notice of application acceptance was issued to the applicant, and staff started processing the application.
8-6A-4 Required Application Information	PZ/DRC/CC	No concerns noted	No application waivers requested pursuant to 8-6A-4A.
8-6A-7 Public Hearing Process	PZ/DRC/CC	No compliance issues noted	The applicant provided a neighborhood meeting more than one month and less than three months prior to application submittal. The City provided a radius notice, notifications to agencies with jurisdiction, and ran a legal notice in the Idaho Statesman, at least 15 days prior to the first hearing. The applicant provided an affidavit more than 7 days prior to the hearing that the property was posted more than 10 days prior to the hearing.
8-6B-7 Planned Unit Development	DC/CC	May not be complaint – Discussion is required	<p>Application waivers requested pursuant to 8-6B-7:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. More than four lots on a common drive 2. Reduced setbacks 3. 10' x 20' parking space dimensions reduction

			Discussion whether the application provides adequate diversity of housing to qualify for the planned unit development is required.
--	--	--	--

Other Items Reviewed	
Plan/Policy	Discussion/ Analysis
Idaho Code 67-6513 Local Land Use Planning Subdivision Ordinance	<p>Garden City has, by ordinance, adopted hearing procedures compliant with section 67-6509, Idaho Code, for standards and for the processing of applications for subdivision permits under sections 50-1301 through 50-1329, Idaho Code.</p> <p>This statute enables Garden City regulations to provide for mitigation of the effects of subdivision development on the ability of political subdivisions of the state, to deliver services without compromising quality of service delivery to current residents or imposing substantial additional costs upon current residents to accommodate the proposed subdivision.</p> <p>This section notes that denial of a subdivision permit or approval of a subdivision permit with conditions unacceptable to the landowner may be subject to the regulatory taking analysis provided for by section 67-8003, Idaho Code, consistent with the requirements established thereby.</p>
Idaho Code 67-6515 Local Land Use Planning Planned Unit Developments	<p>This statute enables Garden City to process applications for planned unit developments.</p>
Garden City Comprehensive Plan	<p>This application is in future land use designations of the Comprehensive Plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Mixed Use Commercial b) Green Boulevard Corridor <p>The application may be supported by:</p> <p>Goal 1. Nurture the City</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a.) 1.4 Objective: Create a premier destination place to live, work, and recreate. <p>Goal 2. Improve the City Image</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a.) 2.3 Objective: Promote quality design and architecturally interesting buildings. b.) 2.4 Objective: Create a vision for the design of all streets and highways consistent with city's urban setting. <p>Goal 4. Emphasize the "Garden" in Garden City</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a.) 4.1 Objective: Beautify and landscape. b.) 4.3 Objective: Beautify streets, sidewalks and gateways with landscaping, trees, and public art. c.) 4.3.1 Objective: Continue to require sidewalks and landscaping in all new development, and in major alterations and re-use of existing commercial sites. <p>Goal 5. Focus on the River</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a.) 5.3 Objective Restore and naturalize water systems, including canals, ditches, drains, river channels and creeks. <p>Goal 7. Connect the City</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a.) 7.1. Objective: Create pedestrian and bicycle friendly connections. b.) 7.4 Objective: Maintain and improve standards for sidewalks, curbs and gutters.

	<p>c.) 7.4.2 Objective: Work with Idaho Transportation Department in developing standards for sidewalk improvements along state highways.</p> <p>The application may not be supported by:</p> <p>Goal 2. Improve the City Image</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a.) 2.1 Objective: Encourage new and distinctive neighborhoods. b.) 2.1.2 Objective: Encourage high quality design and landscaping, including the use of water features, in new development. c.) Amend the Land Use Code to expand planned unit development (PUD) requirements to all sizes of parcels through a design review process. Amend the PUD standards to encourage a variety of housing, including well-designed smaller units; flexibility in setbacks and parking requirements to meet the needs of specific dwellings; and requirements for pedestrian amenities, including parks, open spaces and pathways. d.) 2.4 Objective: Create a vision for the design of all streets and highways consistent with city's urban setting. <p>Goal 5. Focus on the River</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a.) 5.5.2 Objective Develop a city-wide pathway and sidewalk plan with links to the Boise River Greenbelt. Consider linear pathways adjacent to existing waterways such as the Thurman Mill Ditch and waterways to the Settler's Canal that can link to the river and provide alternative locations for parking and river activity staging. <p>Goal 6. Diversity in Housing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a.) 6.2 Objective: Continue to be a leader and set an example for the region in creating a diversity of housing. b.) 6.3 Objective: Maintain the diversity of housing. c.) 6.3.1 Objective: Provide for a variety of housing types in the Land Use Code including smaller cottage and second housing units. Allow for housing that attract niche markets such as senior housing, live-work structures, and cooperative housing. d.) 6.3.2. Objective: Continue to explore opportunities that encourage mixed income housing in new developments. <p>Goal 7. Connect the City</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a.) 7.4 Objective: Maintain and improve standards for sidewalks, curbs and gutters.
Garden City Sidewalk Policy	No waiver requested. Sidewalks required.
Garden City Street Light Policy	A streetlight is installed along W. Chinden Blvd. in accordance with the policy.
Chinden- ITD Access Management Chinden Corridor Access Map	The existing access onto Chinden has been identified by ITD as an access that shall remain. Further comment from ITD might be required.
Garden City Transportation Needs List	<p>Install a pedestrian hybrid beacon at Coffey Street. This is a regional bike/ pedestrian corridor connecting the Boise Bench to the Greenbelt. There is significant pedestrian school traffic from Capital High School that utilizes the intersection of Glenwood and Chinden. A crossing at Chinden and Coffey would provide a safer and more comfortable alternative. This is a crossing identified in the Looking Glass Academy Garden City February 2019 recommendations.</p> <p>Standard Bike Wayfinding Signs Chinden & Coffey- East (North) noting: Marigold Street; City Hall, Library, Post Office, River Point Park</p> <p>Chinden Blvd. South Sidewalk Project Development Plan – Identifying the need for a 10ft multi-use pathway along the southside of W. Chinden Blvd.</p>

