

BEFORE THE CITY COUNCIL
GARDEN CITY, ADA COUNTY, IDAHO

In the Matter of:)	CUPFY2017-10
)	
Extension Request)	FINDINGS OF FACT,
Address: 3775 (3770) Adams Street)	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Garden City, Ada County, Idaho)	AND DECISION
<hr/>		

THIS MATTER, came before the Garden City Council for consideration on August 12, 2020. The City Council reviewed the request. On April 12, 2021, the City Council considered a revised request. Based on the evidence presented, pursuant to Garden City Code § 8-6-A. 8, the City Council makes the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The revised request is to extend the approval of CUPFY2017-10 sixty days to a date of August 10, 2021.
2. The requestor is Bruce Wong.
3. The property owner of record is Ada County Highway District.
4. The location of the project is 3775 (3770) Adams St., Ada County Parcel S1005141695; PAR #1695 in CTR POR NE4 SEC 5 3N 2E & OF Block 12 Fairview Acres #3.
5. Conditional Use Permit, file, CUPFY2017-10 was approved June 27, 2017 for a temporary sand and salt shed structure. The approval was valid for three years from the date of Certificate of Occupancy. A Certificate of Occupancy was issued June 11, 2018. The permit will expire June 11, 2021.
6. On July 22, 2020 Garden City received a request for an extension in writing noting:
 - a. ACHD is anticipating entitlements later this year in the City of Meridian for another maintenance yard.
7. The City Council considered request on August 12, 2020:
 - a. Mayor Evans introduced the application
 - b. Staff Thornborrow presented a staff report
 - c. Timothy P. Nicholson presented the request
 - d. Council Member Beaumont moved to deny the application.
 - e. Council Member Page seconded the motion.

- f. The motion passed unanimously.
- 8. The City received a reconsideration request dated August 27, 2020.
- 9. On September 14, 2020, the City considered the reconsideration.
- 10. On October 26, 2020, the reconsideration request was considered denied, as per Idaho § 67-6535, the City Council had not granted the reconsideration within sixty days from the reconsideration request.
- 11. On March 31, 2021 received a secondary, and revised request for extension was received in writing.
- 12. The City Council considered the new request on April 12, 2020:
 - a. This will be updated to reflect the proceedings.
- 13. The record contains:
 - a. Extension request materials reviewed August 12, 2020
 - b. Fee agreement
 - c. Planning and Zoning Commission Decision document June 21, 2017
 - d. Building Permit Certificate of Occupancy June 11, 2018
 - e. CUPFY2017-10 Record Documents
 - f. Reconsideration request dated August 27, 2020.
 - g. Revised request for extension dated March 31, 2021.
- 14. The following standards in the Garden City Code apply to this proposal:

Potential conclusions have been drafted. The Council may agree with the drafted conclusion and explanation or may find a different conclusion and or explanation. These conclusions of law are not predetermined.

Standards	Compliant	Conclusions
<p>GCC 8-6A-8 Expiration of Approvals (as amended in 2018 by Ordinance 1002-18)</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>1. Good Cause exists for the request:</p> <p><u>Explanation: Good Cause does exist in that ACHD is identifying alternatives and is committed to removing the structure prior within the timeframe allotted within the extension.</u></p> <p>Or</p> <p><u>Explanation: Good Cause does not exist for the request: At the time of approval, it was noted that the</u></p>

		<p>request was incompatible with Garden City Code and FEMA regulations. A temporary permit was granted only to allow for ACHD to obtain compliance with EPA regulations while actively endeavoring to identify a location outside of the floodplain to relocate the use. There are not extenuating circumstances that ACHD has identified that warrant an extension to the temporary permit.</p> <p>2. There has not been change in the neighborhood, plans or policies that affect the compatibility of the project:</p> <p><u>Explanation:</u> While the neighborhood continues change consistent with the Comprehensive Plan's vision, ACHD is in the process of relocating the structure.</p> <p>Or</p> <p><u>Explanation:</u> The neighborhood continues change consistent with the Comprehensive Plan's vision. Subsequently, that the structure is further noncompliant with the character of the neighborhood.</p> <p>3. The property appears to be compliant with codes and laws:</p> <p><u>Explanation:</u> The property is not compliant with codes and laws, however, ACHD is in the process of identifying alternatives.</p> <p>Or</p> <p><u>Explanation:</u> The property is not compliant with codes and laws. Garden City Code prohibits critical</p>
--	--	---

		<p>facilities within the floodplain. The timeframe for this temporary allowance far exceeds the six-month timeframe identified by the State Floodplain Administrator as a recommended duration during the conditional use permit review.</p> <p>4. It is in the City's best interest to grant the extension:</p> <p><u>Explanation:</u> It is in the City's best interest to grant the extension to facilitate ACHD additional time to identify alternatives.</p> <p>Or</p> <p><u>Explanation:</u> It is not in the City's best interest to grant the extension as it renders the City non-complaint with ordinances, laws, and its Comprehensive Plan.</p>
--	--	--

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The City Council reviewed the application with regard to Garden City Code, Title 8, and concludes the application **does/ does not** meet the standards of approval under G.C.C. § 8-6-A.8.

DECISION

WHEREFORE, based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained herein, the Garden City Council does hereby **Approve/ Deny** this request for an extension of the building permit.

Mayor, John G. Evans

Date